

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/074,206	02/12/2002	Udo Schutz	PR-24DIV	6244	
75	90 07/07/2003				
FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER			EXAMINER		
317 MADISON AVENUE SUITE 910 NEW YORK, NY 10017			CHEN, JOSE V		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637		
			DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/07/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	10/074,206	SCHUTZ, UDO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	José V. Chen	3637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard processes of the maximum statutory per - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thi riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	24 April 2002					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2						
	This action is non-final.	ottore, proceedation as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the applica	ition.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-10</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		A collection Ale				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	estic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on 04-21-03 have been disapproved because they introduce new matter into the drawings. 37 CFR 1.121(f) states that no amendment may introduce new matter into the disclosure of an application. The original disclosure does not support the showing of the different thicknesses of a sheet, particularly at the specific locations shown.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitation that the metal plate has different thicknesses, material compositions must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 5, 6, 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riemenschneider in view of Stoner. The patent to Riemenschneider teaches structure substantially as claimed including a sheet metal pallet including stiffening ribs the only difference being that the pallet does not include areas of different thickenesses or compositions. However, the patent to Stoner (at fig. 4) teaches the use of different thicknesses at different locations of a pallet that provides varying degrees of strength. It would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the structure of Riemenschneider to include a different thicknesses, as taught by Stoner since such structures are conventional structures used in the same intended purpose of providing different degrees of strength at different portions of a structure thereby providing structure as claimed. It is noted that fig. 4 of Stoner does show a sheet of material with different thicknesses particularly at and around 22 which inherently provides a varying degree of strength and resistance to stress.

Claims 7, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riemenschneider in view of Stoner as applied to the claims above, and further in view of Aberg. The patent to Riemenschneider in view of Stoner teaches structure

Art Unit: 3637

substantially as claimed as discussed above including a metal sheet pallet, the only difference being that there are not additional plates to provide varying degrees of strength and stiffness. However, the patent to Aberg teaches the use of additional plates to provide strength to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the structure of Riemenschneider to include additional plates since such structures are used in the same intended purpose of providing additional strength and rigidity thereby providing structure as claimed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 04-21-03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. It is noted that the method or process of producing a end product has no weight in a utility claim. The references used teach structures as claimed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3637

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (703) 308-3229. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (703)308-2168. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)872-9326 for regular communications and (703)872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-2168.

José V. Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc July 1, 2003